

Local cohomology modules of polynomial or power series rings over rings of small dimension

Luis Núñez-Betancourt

July 10, 2012

Abstract

Let A be a ring and R be a polynomial or a power series ring over A . When A has dimension zero, we show that the Bass numbers and the associated primes of the local cohomology modules over R are finite. Moreover, if A has dimension one and π is a nonzero divisor, then the same properties hold for prime ideals that contain π . These results do not require that A contains a field. As a consequence, we give a different proof for the finiteness properties of local cohomology over unramified regular local rings. In addition, we extend previous results on the injective dimension of local cohomology modules over certain regular rings of mixed characteristic.

1 Introduction

Throughout this manuscript A , and R denote commutative Noetherian rings with unity such that R is either a polynomial ring, $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, or a power series ring, $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. If M is an R -module and $I \subset R$ is an ideal, we denote the i -th local cohomology of M with support in I by $H_I^i(M)$. If I is generated by the elements $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in R$, these cohomology groups can be computed using the Čech complex,

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \bigoplus_j M_{f_j} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M_{f_1 \dots f_\ell} \rightarrow 0.$$

The structure of these modules has been widely studied by several authors. Among the results obtained, one encounters the following finiteness properties for certain regular rings

- (1) The set of associated primes of $H_I^i(R)$ is finite,
- (2) The Bass numbers of $H_I^i(R)$ are finite, and
- (3) $\text{inj.dim} H_I^i(R) \leq \dim \text{Supp} H_I^i(R)$.

Huneke and Sharp showed those properties for regular local rings of characteristic $p > 0$ [4]. Lyubeznik proved these finiteness properties for regular local rings of equal characteristic zero and finitely generated regular algebras over a field of characteristic zero [5].

Properties (1), (2) and (3) have been proved for a larger family of functors introduced by Lyubeznik [5]. If $Z \subset \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is a closed subset and M is an R -module, we denote by $H_Z^i(M)$ the i -th local cohomology module of M with support in Z . We note that $H_Z^i(R) = H_I^i(R)$, where $Z = \mathcal{V}(I) = \{P \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) : I \subset P\}$. For two closed subsets of $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, $Z_1 \subset Z_2$, there is a long exact sequence of functors

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{Z_1}^i \rightarrow H_{Z_2}^i \rightarrow H_{Z_1/Z_2}^i \rightarrow \dots \quad (1)$$

A *Lyubeznik functor*, $\mathcal{T}$, is any functor of the form $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{T}_t$, where every functor $\mathcal{T}_j$ is either H_{Z_1} , $H_{Z_1 \setminus Z_2}^i$, or the kernel, image or cokernel of some arrow in the previous long exact sequence for closed subsets Z_1, Z_2 of $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ such that $Z_2 \subset Z_1$.

The aim of this manuscript is to extend Properties (1) and (2) for certain rings that are not necessarily regular or that do not necessarily contain a field. Namely,

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be a zero dimensional commutative Noetherian ring. Let R be either $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Then,*

- $\operatorname{Ass}_R \mathcal{T}(R)$ is finite for every functor $\mathcal{T}$, and
- the Bass numbers of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ are finite.

In particular, these properties hold for $H_I^i(R)$ for every ideal $I \subset R$ and every integer $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let A be a one-dimensional ring, and let R be either $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Let $\pi \in A$ denote an element such that $\dim(A/\pi A) = 0$. Then, the set of associated primes over R of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ that contain π is finite for every functor $\mathcal{T}$. Moreover, if A is Cohen-Macaulay and π is a nonzero divisor, then the Bass numbers of $\mathcal{T}(R)$, with respect to a prime ideal P that contains π , are finite. In particular, these properties hold for $H_I^i(R)$ for every ideal $I \subset R$ and every integer $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

We also extend Property (3) to regular rings that do not necessarily contain a field. Namely:

Theorem 1.3. *Let (A, m, K) be a regular local Noetherian ring and let R be either $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Let M be a $D(R, A)$ -module supported only at mR . Then,*

$$\operatorname{inj.dim}(M) \leq \dim(A) + \dim(\operatorname{Supp} M).$$

In particular,

$$\operatorname{inj.dim}(H_\eta^j(\mathcal{T}(S))) \leq \dim(A),$$

where $\eta = (m, x_1, \dots, x_n)S$ and $\mathcal{T}$ is a Lyubeznik functor. Moreover, if $R = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then

$$\text{inj.dim}(M) \leq \dim(A) + \dim(\text{Supp} M).$$

for every $D(R, A)$ -module, M .

The manuscript is organized as follows. In section 2, we give an overview of D -modules. Later, in section 3, we study the associated primes of $D(R, A)$ -modules in the subcategory $C(R, A)$, introduced by Lyubeznik in [6]. In section 4, we deal with the Bass numbers of $D(R, A)$ -modules in $C(R, A)$, and we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. In section 5, we give a new proof for finiteness properties of local cohomology modules over a regular local ring of unramified mixed characteristic (cf. Theorem 1 in [9]). Finally, in section 6, we study the injective dimension of $D(R, A)$ -modules over a polynomial or a power series ring with coefficients over any regular ring, and we prove Theorem 1.3, which generalizes Theorem 5.1(a) in [13].

2 D-modules

Given two rings, A and R such that $A \subset R$, we denote by $D(R, A)$ the ring of A -linear differential operators of R . This is the subring of $\text{Hom}_A(R, R)$ defined inductively as follows. The differential operators of order zero are the morphisms induced by multiplying by elements in R . An element $\theta \in \text{Hom}_A(R, R)$ is a differential operator of order less than or equal to $k + 1$ if $\theta \cdot r - r \cdot \theta$ is a differential operator of order less than or equal to k for every $r \in R = \text{Hom}_R(R, R)$.

We recall that if M is a $D(R, A)$ -module, then M_f has the structure of a $D(R, A)$ -module such that, for every $f \in R$, the natural morphism $M \rightarrow M_f$ is a morphism of $D(R, A)$ -modules. As a result of this, since R is a $D(R, A)$ -module, $\mathcal{T}(R)$ is also a $D(R, A)$ -module (cf. Examples 2.1 in [5]).

By Theorem 16.12.1 in [2], if $R = A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, then

$$D(R, A) = R \left[\frac{1}{t!} \frac{\partial^t}{\partial x_i^t} \mid t \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq n \right] \subset \text{Hom}_A(R, R).$$

For every ideal $I \subset A$, there is a natural surjection $\rho : D(R, A) \rightarrow D(R/IR, A/IA)$. Moreover, if M is a $D(R, A)$ -module, then IM is a $D(R, A)$ -submodule and the structure of M/IM as a $D(R, A)$ -module is given by ρ , i.e. $\delta \cdot v = \rho(\delta) \cdot v$ for all $\delta \in D(R, A)$ and $v \in M/IM$.

As in Lyubeznik [6], we denote by $C(R, A)$ the smallest subcategory of $D(R, A)$ -modules that contains R_f for all $f \in R$ and that is closed under subobjects, extensions and quotients. In particular, the kernel,

image and cokernel of a morphism of $D(R, A)$ -modules that belongs to $C(R, A)$ are also objects in $C(R, A)$. We remark that if M is an object in $C(R, A)$, then $\mathcal{T}(M)$ is also an object in this subcategory; in particular, $\mathcal{T}(R)$ belongs to $C(R, A)$ (cf. Lemma 5 in [6]).

We note that if R_f has finite length in the category of $D(R, A)$ -modules for every $f \in R$ and M is an object of $C(R, A)$, then M has finite length as a $D(R, A)$ -module. As a consequence, $\mathcal{T}(R)$ would also have finite length. We recall that, if $A = K$ is a field and R is either $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, then R_f has finite length in the category of $D(R, K)$ -modules for every $f \in R$ [5, 6].

3 Associated primes of local cohomology

Lemma 3.1. *Let A and R be Noetherian rings such that $A \subset R$. Let M be a $D(R, A)$ -module of finite length. Then, $\text{Ass}_R M$ is finite.*

Proof. Suppose $M \neq 0$. Let $M_1 = M$ and P_1 be a maximal element in the set of the associated primes of M_1 . Then, $N_1 = H_{P_1}^0(M_1)$ is a nonzero $D(R, A)$ -submodule of M_1 , and it has only one associated prime. Given N_j and M_j , set $M_{j+1} = M_j/N_j$. If $M_{j+1} \neq 0$, let P_{j+1} be a maximal element in the set of the associated primes of M_{j+1} . Then $N_{j+1} = H_{P_{j+1}}^0(M_{j+1})$ has only one associated prime. If $M_{j+1} = 0$, set $N_{j+1} = 0$ and $P_{j+1} = 0$. Since $M_1 = M$ has finite length as a $D(R, A)$ -module, there exist $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M_j = 0$ for $j \geq \ell$ and then $\text{Ass}(M) \subset \{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let A be a zero-dimensional Noetherian ring. Let R be either $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Then, R_f has finite length as a $D(R, A)$ -module for every $f \in R$.*

Proof. Since A has finite length as a A -module, there is a finite filtration of ideals $0 = N_0 \subset N_1 \subset \dots \subset N_\ell = A$ such that N_{j+1}/N_j is isomorphic to a field. Then, we have an induced filtration of $D(R, A)$ -modules, $0 = N_0 R_f \subset N_1 R_f \subset \dots \subset N_\ell R_f = R_f$. It suffices to prove that $N_{j+1} R_f / N_j R_f$ has finite length for $j = 1, \dots, \ell$. We note that $N_{j+1} R_f / N_j R_f$ is zero or isomorphic to $(R/m)_f$ for some maximal ideal $m \subset A$. Since $N_{j+1} R_f / N_j R_f$ has finite length as a $D(R/mR, A/mA)$ -module, it has finite length as a $D(R, A)$ -module, which concludes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Let A be a zero-dimensional commutative Noetherian ring. Let R be either $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Then, $\text{Ass}_R M$ is finite for every object in $M \in C(R, A)$; in particular, this holds for $\mathcal{T}(R)$ for every functor $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, R_f has finite length in the category of $D(R, A)$ -modules for every $f \in R$. If M is an object of $C(R, A)$, then M has finite length as a $D(R, A)$ -module, because length is additive. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Let A be a one-dimensional ring, $\pi \in A$ be an element such that $\dim(A/\pi A) = 0$, and R be either $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Then, $R_f/\pi R_f$ has finite length as a $D(R, A)$ -module for every $f \in R$.*

Proof. We have that, the length of $R_f/\pi R_f$ as a $D(R, A)$ -module or as a $D(R/\pi R, A/\pi A)$ -module is the same. Since $A/\pi A$ has dimension zero and $R/\pi R$ is either $(A/\pi A)[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $(A/\pi A)[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, the result follows from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *Let A be a one-dimensional ring, $\pi \in A$ be an element such that $\dim(A/\pi A) = 0$, and R be either $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Let $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{R}$ denote $A/\pi A$ and $R/\pi A$ respectively. Let M be a $D(R, A)$ -module, such that $\text{Ann}_M(\pi)$ and $M \otimes_R \bar{R}$ are objects in $C(\bar{R}, \bar{A})$. Then, $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{T}(M)}(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{T}(M) \otimes_R \bar{R}$ are objects in $C(\bar{R}, \bar{A})$ for every functor $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. We recall that $\mathcal{T}$ has the form $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{T}_t$, where every functor $\mathcal{T}_j$ is either $H_{Z_1}^i$, $H_{Z_1 \setminus Z_2}^i$, or the kernel, image or cokernel of some arrow in the long exact sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} H_{Z_1}^i(M) \xrightarrow{\beta_i} H_{Z_2}^i(M) \xrightarrow{\gamma_i} H_{Z_1/Z_2}^i(M) \rightarrow \dots \quad (2)$$

for closed subsets Z_1, Z_2 of $\text{Spec}(R)$ such that $Z_2 \subset Z_1$.

It suffices to prove the claim for $t = 1$ by an inductive argument. Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = H_Z^i(-)$ where $Z = Z_1 \setminus Z_2$ for closed subsets $Z_1, Z_2 \subset \text{Spec}(R)$ such that $Z_2 \subset Z_1$. We note that $H_Z^i(-) = H_{Z_1}^i(-)$, if we choose $Z_2 = \emptyset$. The exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ann}_M(\pi) \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} \pi M \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \pi M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \otimes_R \bar{R} \rightarrow 0,$$

induce two long exact sequences,

$$\dots \rightarrow H_Z^i(\text{Ann}_M(\pi)) \xrightarrow{\phi_i} H_Z^i(M) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} H_Z^i(\pi M) \rightarrow \dots$$

and

$$\dots \rightarrow H_Z^i(\pi M) \xrightarrow{\phi'_i} H_Z^i(M) \xrightarrow{\varphi'_i} H_Z^i(M \otimes_R \bar{R}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since the composition of $\phi'_i \circ \varphi_i$ is the multiplication by π on $H_Z^i(M)$, we obtain the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\varphi_i) \rightarrow \text{Ann}_{H_Z^i(M)}(\pi) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} \text{Ker}(\phi'_i),$$

and

$$\mathrm{Coker}(\varphi_i) \xrightarrow{\phi'_i} H_Z^i(M) \otimes_R \bar{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(\phi'_i) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, $\mathrm{Ann}_{H_Z^i(M)}(\pi)$ and $H_Z^i(\pi M) \otimes_R \bar{R}$ are objects in $C(\bar{R}, \bar{A})$, because $\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi_i), \mathrm{Ker}(\phi'_i), \mathrm{Coker}(\varphi_i)$ and $\mathrm{Coker}(\phi'_i)$ belong to $C(\bar{R}, \bar{A})$ and this category is closed under sub-objects, extensions and quotients.

If $\mathcal{T}$ is a kernel, image or cokernel of a morphism in the long exact sequence (2), there exists an injection, $\mathcal{T}(M) \rightarrow H_{Z_{j_1}}^{i_1}(M)$, and a surjection $H_{Z_{j_2}}^{i_2}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(M)$ for some $i_1, i_2 \geq 0$ and $j_1, j_2 \in \{1, 2\}$. Then,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{T}(M)}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ann}_{H_{Z_{j_1}}^{i_1}(M)}(\pi)$$

and

$$H_{Z_{j_2}}^{i_2}(M) \otimes_R \bar{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(M) \otimes_R \bar{R} \rightarrow 0$$

are exact. Therefore, $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{T}(M)}(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{T}(M) \otimes_R \bar{R}$ belong to $C(\bar{R}, \bar{A})$. $\square$

Proposition 3.6. *Let A be a one-dimensional ring, $\pi \in A$ be an element such that $\dim(A/\pi A) = 0$, and R be either $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Then, the set of associated primes over R of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ that contain π is finite for every functor $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. The set of associated primes of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ that contain π is equal to $\mathrm{Ass}_R \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{T}(R)}(\pi)$. Since $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{T}}(\pi)$ is a $D(R, A)$ -module of finite length by Lemma 3.5, $\mathrm{Ass}_R \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{T}(R)}(\pi)$ is finite by Lemma 3.1. $\square$

Corollary 3.7. *Let A be a one-dimensional local ring, and let R be $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then, $\mathrm{Ass}_R \mathcal{T}(R)$ is finite.*

Proof. Let π be a parameter for A . Then, the set of associated primes over R of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ that contain π is finite by Corollary 3.6. Since $R_\pi = A_\pi[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\dim(A_\pi) = 0$, the set of associated primes over R of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ that does not contain π , which is in correspondence with $\mathrm{Ass}_{R_\pi} \mathcal{T}(R_\pi)$, is finite by Corollary 3.3. $\square$

Corollary 3.8. *Let (A, m, K) be a one-dimensional local domain, and let R be $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Then, $\mathrm{Ass}_R \mathcal{T}(R)$ is finite.*

Proof. Let π be a parameter for A . Then, the set of associated primes over R of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ that contain π is finite by Corollary 3.6. It remains to show that the set of the associated primes not containing π is finite.

We will proceed by cases. If A is a ring of characteristic $p > 0$. We have that R_π is a regular ring by Theorem 5.1.2 in [1] because R_π is the fiber at the zero prime ideal of A . Then, $\mathrm{Ass}_{R_\pi} \mathcal{T}(R_\pi)$ is finite by Corollary 2.14 in [7].

If A is not a ring of characteristic $p > 0$. We have again that R_π is a regular ring by Theorem 5.1.2 in [1]. Let $F = A_\pi$ be the fraction field of A and $S = F \otimes_A R = R_\pi$. Then, F is a field of characteristic 0 and S is an F -algebra. We claim that S and F satisfy the properties:

- (i) S is equidimensional of dimension n ;
- (ii) every residual field with respect to a maximal ideal is an algebraic extension of F ;
- (iii) there exist F -linear derivations $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \in \text{Der}_F(S)$ and elements $z_1, \dots, z_n \in R$ such that $\partial_i a_j = 1$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise.

We will proceed following the ideas of Lyubeznik in [9]. Let $\eta \subset S$ be a maximal ideal and let $Q = \eta \cap R$. Then Q is a prime ideal of R not containing f and it is maximal among the ideals of R not containing π . By induction on n , it suffices to show that if P is a nonzero prime ideal of R not containing π , then there exist elements $y_1, \dots, y_n \in R$ such that $R = A[[y_1, \dots, y_n]]$ and R/P is a finitely generated $R_{n-1}/P \cap R_{n-1}$ -module, where $R_{n-1} = A[[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]]$. Then, the finiteness implies that $P \cap R_{n-1} = \text{ht} P - 1$, the prime ideal P is maximal among all ideals of R not containing π if and only if $P \cap R_n$ is maximal among all ideals of R_n not containing π . In addition, $S/PS = (R/P) \otimes_A F$ is an algebraic extension of F if and only if $F \otimes_A R_{n-1}/P \cap R_{n-1}$ is an algebraic extension of F .

Let $\bar{P}$ be the image of P in $\bar{R} = R/mR = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. There exist new variables $y_1, \dots, y_n$ such that $\bar{R}/\bar{P}$ is finite over $\bar{R}_{n-1}/\bar{P} \cap \bar{R}_{n-1}$, where $\bar{R}_{n-1} = K[[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]]$. Let $r_1, \dots, r_s \in \bar{R}/\bar{P}$ be a set of generators over $\bar{R}_{n-1}/\bar{P} \cap \bar{R}_{n-1}$. Lifting these variables to R , we get that $R = A[[y_1, \dots, y_n]]$. For every $f \in R/P$ there exist a finite number of elements $g_{1,1}, \dots, g_{1,s}, v_{1,j} \in R_{n-1}$ and $h_{1,j} \in m$ with

$$f = g_{1,1}r_1 + \dots + g_{1,s}r_s + \sum_j h_{1,j}v_{1,j}.$$

We can apply the same idea to $v_{i,j}$ inductively to obtain a finite number of elements $g_{t,1}, \dots, g_{t,s}, v_{t,j} \in R_{n-1}$ and $h_{t,j} \in m^t$ such that

$$f = \left(\sum_{k=1}^t \sum_i h_{k-1,i} g_{k,1} \right) r_1 + \dots + \left(\sum_{k=1}^t \sum_i h_{k-1,i} g_{k,s} \right) r_s + \sum_j h_{t,j} v_{t,j}.$$

Since R/P is m -adically separated and complete, we can take

$$G_\ell = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_i h_{k-1,i} g_{k,\ell}.$$

Then $f = G_1 r_1 + \dots + G_s r_s$. This proves that $r_1, \dots, r_s$ is a finite system of generators of R/P as an R_{n-1}/PR_{n-1} -module and concludes the

proof of the claim that $R \otimes_A F$ and F satisfy properties (i) and (ii). In addition, we have that $z_i = x_i$ and $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ satisfies (iii). Then, we have that $\text{Ass}_{R_\pi} \mathcal{T}(R_\pi)$ is finite by using the results of D -modules in [10] as it was done in [5]. It is proven explicitly in Theorem 4.4 in [12]. $\square$

4 Bass numbers

4.1 Facts about Bass numbers

Lemma 4.1. *Let (R, m, K) be a local ring and M be an R -module. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- a) $\dim_K \text{Ext}_R^j(K, M)$ is finite for all $j \geq 0$,
- b) $\text{length}(\text{Ext}_R^j(N, M))$ is finite for every finite length module N and for all $j \geq 0$, and
- c) $\text{length}(\text{Ext}_R^j(N, M))$ is finite for one module finite length N and for all $j \geq 0$.

Proof. This Lemma 3.1 in [12]. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Let (R, m, K) be a Noetherian Cohen-Macaulay ring and $\pi \in R$ be a nonzero divisor. Let M be an R -module annihilated by π . Then, $\dim_K \text{Ext}_R^\ell(K, M)$ is finite for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $\dim_K \text{Ext}_{R/\pi R}^\ell(K, M)$ is finite for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $g_i \in R$, such that $\pi, g_1, \dots, g_n$ form a system of parameters. Let J denote $(\pi, g_1, \dots, g_n)R$. Using the Koszul complex to compute the free resolution of R/J as an R -module and as an $R/\pi R$ -module, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(\text{Ext}_R^\ell(R/J, M)) &= \text{length}(\text{Ext}_{R/\pi R}^\ell(R/J, M)) \\ &\quad + \text{length}(\text{Ext}_{R/\pi R}^{\ell-1}(R/J, M)). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from Lemma 4.1, because R/J has finite length. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, M be an R -module and $\pi \in R$ be a nonzero divisor. Let $\bar{R}$ denote $R/\pi R$. Suppose that $\dim_K \text{Ext}_R^j(K, \text{Ann}_M(\pi))$ and $\dim_K \text{Ext}_R^j(K, M \otimes_R \bar{R})$ are finite for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\dim_K \text{Ext}_R^j(K, M)$ is finite for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We have, by Lemma 4.2, that both $\dim_K \text{Ext}_R^j(K, \text{Ann}_M(\pi))$ and $\dim_K \text{Ext}_R^j(K, M \otimes_R \bar{R})$ are finite for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. From the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ann}_M(\pi) \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} \pi M \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \pi M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \otimes_R \bar{R} \rightarrow 0,$$

we get two long exact sequences induced by Ext :

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^\ell(K, \text{Ann}_M(\pi)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_\ell} \text{Ext}_R^\ell(K, M) \xrightarrow{\beta_\ell} \text{Ext}_R^\ell(K, \pi M) \rightarrow \dots,$$

and

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^\ell(K, \pi M) \xrightarrow{\gamma_\ell} \text{Ext}_R^\ell(K, M) \xrightarrow{\theta_\ell} \text{Ext}_R^\ell(K, M \otimes_R \bar{R}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $\text{Im}(\theta_\ell)$ injects into $\text{Ext}_R^\ell(K, M \otimes_R \bar{R})$, we have that $\dim_K \text{Im}(\theta_\ell)$ is finite. Likewise, $\dim_K \text{Coker}(\beta_\ell)$ is finite, because it injects into $\text{Ext}_R^{\ell+1}(K, \text{Ann}_M(\pi))$. We note that

$$\text{Ext}_R^\ell(K, \pi M) = \text{Ker}(\beta_\ell) \oplus \text{Coker}(\beta_\ell).$$

Since

$$\gamma_\ell \circ \beta_\ell = \text{Ext}_R^\ell(K, M) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Ext}_R^\ell(K, M)$$

is the zero morphism for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\text{Im}(\gamma_\ell) = \gamma_\ell(\text{Coker}(\beta_\ell))$. Therefore, $\gamma(\text{Coker}(\beta_\ell)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^\ell(K, M) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\theta_\ell) \rightarrow 0$ is exact, and then $\dim_K(\text{Ext}_R^\ell(K, M))$ is finite. $\square$

4.2 Finiteness properties of Bass numbers of local cohomology modules

Definition 4.4. Let A be a zero dimensional Noetherian ring. Let R be either $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Let M be an $D(R, A)$ -module. We say that M is *C-filtered* if there exists a filtration $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_\ell = M$ of $D(R, A)$ -modules, such that M_{i+1}/M_i is either zero or

- (1) M_{i+1}/M_i is annihilated by a maximal ideal $m_i \subset R$,
- (2) M_{i+1}/M_i is an object in $C(R/m_i R, A/m_i)$, and
- (3) M_{i+1}/M_i is a simple $D(R, A)$ -module.

Lemma 4.5. Let A be a zero dimensional Noetherian ring. Let R be either $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Let M be an object in $C(R, A)$. Then, M is *C-filtered*.

Proof. We first prove the claim for R_f for every $f \in R$. Since A has finite length as an A -module, there is a finite filtration of ideals, $0 = N_0 \subset N_1 \subset \dots \subset M_\ell = A$, such that M_{i+1}/M_i is isomorphic to a field, $K_i = A/m_i$, where m_i is a maximal ideal of A . Then, we have an induced filtration of $D(R, A)$ -modules, $0 = N_0 R_f \subset N_1 R_f \subset \dots \subset N_\ell R_f = R_f$. Thus, $N_{i+1} R_f / N_i R_f = R_f / m_i R_f$, which is an object in $C(R/m_i R, A/m_i)$. Then, there exist a filtration, $N_i = M_{i,1} \subset \dots \subset M_{i,j_i} = N_{i+1}$, of objects in $C(R/m_i R, A/m_i)$, such that $M_{i,t+1}/M_{i,t}$ is a simple $D(R/m_i R, A/m_i)$ -module. Therefore,

$$0 = M_{0,1} \subset \dots \subset M_{0,j_1} \subset M_{1,1} \subset \dots \subset M_{\ell,j_\ell} = R_f$$

is a filtration that makes R_f a C -filtered module. By the definition of $C(R, A)$, it suffices to show that if $0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} M'' \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of objects in $C(R, A)$, then M is C -filtered if and only if M' and M'' are C -filtered. If M is C -filtered with a filtration M_i , we define a filtration M'_i in M' by $M'_i = \alpha^{-1}(M_i)$. Similarly, we define a filtration M''_i in M'' by $M''_i = \beta(M_i)$. Then, we have a short exact sequence of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow & M'_i & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & M'_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & M'_{i+1}/M'_i & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow & M_i & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & M_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & M_{i+1}/M_i & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} & M''_i & \xrightarrow{\beta} & M''_{i+1} & \rightarrow & M''_{i+1}/M''_i & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \end{array}$$

Since M_{i+1}/M_i is either zero or a simple $D(R, A)$ -module, M'_{i+1}/M'_i is either M_{i+1}/M_i or zero. Likewise, M''_{i+1}/M''_i is either M_{i+1}/M_i or zero. Thus, M'_i and M''_i satisfy parts (1), (2) and (3) in Definition 4.4.

On the other hand, if M' and M'' are C -filtered modules with filtrations $M'_0 \subset \dots \subset M'_{\ell'}$ and $M''_0 \subset \dots \subset M''_{\ell''}$, respectively. We define a filtration on M by $M_i = \alpha(M'_i)$ for $i = 0, \dots, \ell'$ and $M_i = \beta^{-1}(M''_{i-\ell'})$ for $i = \ell' + 1, \dots, \ell' + \ell''$. Since $M_{i+1}/M_i = M'_{i+1}/M'_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, \ell'$ and $M_{i+1}/M_i = M''_{i+1-\ell'}/M''_{i-\ell'}$ for $i = \ell' + 1, \dots, \ell' + \ell''$, M_i satisfies parts (1), (2) and (3) in Definition 4.4. Hence, every object in $C(R, A)$ is a C -filtered module. $\square$

Proposition 4.6. *Let A be a zero-dimensional Noetherian ring. Let R be either $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Let M be an object in $C(R, A)$. Then, all the Bass numbers of M are finite. In particular, this holds for $\mathcal{T}(R)$ for every functor $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. We fix a prime ideal $P \subset R$ and denote R_P/PR_P by K_P . Since M is a C -filtered module by Lemma 4.5, we have a filtration $0 = M_0 \subset \dots \subset M_\ell = M$ such that M_{i+1}/M_i is annulled by a maximal ideal $m_i \subset R$, is an object in $C(R/m_i R, A/m_i)$, and is a simple $D(R, A)$ -module. From the short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow M_j \rightarrow M_{j+1} \rightarrow M_{j+1}/M_j \rightarrow 0$, we get long exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_P}^j(K_P, (M_i)_P) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_P}^j(K_P, (M_{i+1})_P) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_P}^j(K_P, (M_{i+1}/M_i)_P) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_P}^{j+1}(K_P, (M_i)_P) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then, it suffices to show the claim for M_{i+1}/M_i for $i = 0, \dots, \ell$. We fix an i and denote M_{i+1}/M_i by N . Let $m \subset A$ be the maximal ideal such that $mN = 0$. If $mR \not\subset P$, then $N \otimes R_P = 0$. We may assume that $mR \subset P$. Let $\bar{R} = R/mR$. We note that $\bar{R}$ is a regular ring containing A/m , a field. Let $g_1, \dots, g_d$ be a system of parameters for R_P and let $\underline{f}_1, \dots, \underline{f}_d$ be the class of $g_1, \dots, g_d$ in $\bar{R}_P$. Since A is a zero dimensional ring, we have that $\underline{f}_1, \dots, \underline{f}_d$ is a system of parameters for $\bar{R}_P$. Let $I = (g_1, \dots, g_d)R_P$. Using the Koszul complex $\mathcal{K}$, we obtain that,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(R_P/I, N_P) &= H^i(\text{Hom}_{R_P}(\mathcal{K}(\underline{g}), N_P)) \\ &= H^i(\text{Hom}_{\bar{R}_P}(\mathcal{K}(\underline{f}), N_P)) = \text{Ext}_{\bar{R}_P}^i(\bar{R}_P/I\bar{R}_P, N_P), \end{aligned}$$

because R_P and $\bar{R}_P$ are Cohen-Macaulay rings of the same dimension. Using Lemma 4.1 several times, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}_{\bar{R}_P} \text{Ext}_{\bar{R}_P}^i(K_P, N_P) &< \infty \Leftrightarrow \text{length}_{\bar{R}_P} \text{Ext}_{\bar{R}_P}^i(\bar{R}_P/I\bar{R}_P, N_P) < \infty \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{length}_{\bar{R}_P} H^i \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}_P}(\mathcal{K}(\underline{f}), N_P) < \infty \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{length}_{R_P} H^i \text{Hom}_{R_P}(\mathcal{K}(\underline{g}), N_P) < \infty \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{length}_{R_P} \text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(R_P/I, N_P) < \infty \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{length}_{R_P} \text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(K_P, N_P) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{length}_{\bar{R}_P} \text{Ext}_{\bar{R}_P}^i(K_P, N_P) < \infty$ by Corollary 8 in [6], we have that $\text{length}_{R_P} \text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(K_P, N_P) < \infty$. Hence, all the Bass numbers of M are finite. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. This is a consequence of Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 4.6. $\square$

Proposition 4.7. *Let A be a Noetherian Cohen-Macaulay ring such that $\dim(A) = 1$, and let $\pi \in A$ be a nonzero divisor. Let R be either $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Then, all the Bass numbers of $\mathcal{T}(R)$, as an R -module, with respect to a prime ideal P containing π , are finite.*

Proof. Let $\bar{R}$ and $\bar{A}$ denote $R/\pi R$ and $A/\pi A$, respectively. We have that $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{T}(R)}(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{T}(R) \otimes \bar{R}$ are objects in $C(\bar{R}, \bar{A})$ by Lemma 3.5. Then, their Bass numbers, as $\bar{R}$ -modules, with respect to P are finite by Proposition 4.6. Since R_P and $\bar{R}_P$ are Cohen-Macaulay rings, we have that the Bass numbers of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ with respect to P are finite by Lemma 4.2 for every functor $\mathcal{T}$. $\square$

We claim that we cannot generalize Proposition 4.7 for Cohen-Macaulay rings of dimension higher than 3. Let $A = K[[s, t, u, w]]/(us + vt)$, where K is field. This is the ring given by Hartshorne's example [3]. Let $I = (s, t)A$. Hartshorne showed that $\dim_K \text{Hom}_A(K, H_I^2(A))$ is not finite.

Let R be either $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Let $P = mR$ be the prime ideal generated by m . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^0(R/P, H_I^2(R)) &= \text{Hom}_R(R/P, H_I^2(R)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_A(K, H_I^2(A)) \otimes_A R = \oplus R/mR, \end{aligned}$$

where the direct sum in the last equality is infinite. Therefore,

$$\dim_{R_P/mR_P} \text{Ext}_{R_P}^0(R_P/PR_P, H_I^2(R_P))$$

is not finite.

Corollary 4.8. *Let A be a one-dimensional local Cohen-Macaulay ring, and let R be $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then, all the Bass numbers of $\mathcal{T}(R)$, as an R -module are finite.*

Proof. Let π be a parameter for A . Then, the Bass numbers of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ with respect to a prime ideal containing π , are finite by Proposition 4.7. Since $R_\pi = A_\pi[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\dim(A_\pi) = 0$, the Bass numbers of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ with respect to a prime ideal that does not contain π , are finite by Proposition 4.6. $\square$

Corollary 4.9. *Let A be a one-dimensional local domain, and let R be $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Then, all the Bass numbers of $\mathcal{T}(R)$, as an R -module are finite.*

Proof. Let π be a parameter for A . Then, the Bass numbers of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ with respect to a prime ideal P containing π , are finite by Proposition 4.7.

On the other hand, the Bass numbers of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ with respect to prime ideals not containing π , are in correspondence with the Bass numbers of R_π . We have that R_π is a regular ring that contains a field, A_π , by Theorem 5.1.2 in [1] because R_π is the fiber at the zero prime ideal of A . Then the result follows from Theorem 2.1 in [4] and Theorem 3.4 in [5]. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. This is a consequence of Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 4.7. $\square$

5 Local cohomology of unramified regular rings

As consequence of the results in Section 3 and 4, we are able to give a different proof for some parts of Theorem 1 in [9].

Theorem 5.1. *Let (R, m, K) be an unramified regular local ring and $p = \text{Char}(K)$. Then:*

- (i) *the Bass numbers of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ are finite, and*
- (ii) *the set of associated primes of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ that contain p is finite*

for every Lyubeznik functor $\mathcal{T}$.

Proof. The finiteness of associated primes of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ that contain p is not affected by completion with respect to the maximal ideal. Since completion of R respect to m is a power series ring over a complete DVR of mixed characteristic, the result follows from Proposition 3.6.

In order to prove the finiteness of the Bass numbers, We need to show that $\dim_{R_P/PR_P} \text{Ext}_{R_P}^j(R_P/PR_P, \mathcal{T}(R_P))$ is finite for every prime ideal $P \subset R$. There are two cases: $p \in P$ or not. If $p \notin P$ then R_P has equal characteristic 0 and the result follows from Theorem 3.4 in [5]. If $p \in P$, R_P is an unramified regular local ring and its completion of R_P respect to the maximal ideal is a power series ring over a complete DVR of mixed characteristic. Since the dimension of $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^\ell(R_P/PR_P, \mathcal{T}(R_P))$ as R_P/PR_P -vector space is not affected by completion, the result follows from Corollary 4.7. $\square$

6 Injective Dimension

In this section, we recover and generalize some results of Zhou about injective dimension [13].

Lemma 6.1. *Let (A, m, K) be a regular local ring and let R denote either $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ or $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let $P \subset R$ be a prime ideal containing mR and let K_P denote the field R_P/PR_P . Let M be a $D(R, A)$ -module. Then, $\text{Ext}_R^\ell(K_P, M_P) = 0$ for $\ell > \dim(A) + \dim(\text{Supp}_R(M))$.*

Proof. The proof will be by induction on the $d = \dim(A)$. If $d = 0$, then $A = K$ is a field and the proof follows from the first Theorem in [8]. We assume that the claim is true for $d - 1$. Let $y_1, \dots, y_d$ denote a minimal set of generator for m . Let $\bar{A} = A/y_dA$, $\bar{R} = R/y_dR = \bar{A}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ and $\bar{P} = P\bar{R}$. Let $\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_{d-1}$ be the class of $y_1, \dots, y_{d-1}$ in $\bar{R}$. We note that $\bar{P} \subset \bar{R}$ is a prime ideal and it contains $m\bar{R}$. Let $f_1, \dots, f_s \in P$ be such that $y_1, \dots, y_{d-1}, f_1, \dots, f_s$ form a minimal set of generator for the maximal ideal PR_P . From the Koszul complex associated to $y_1, \dots, y_{d-1}, f_1, \dots, f_s$ in R_P , we have that for every $\bar{R}_P$ -module, N ,

$$\dim_{K_P} \text{Ext}_{R_P}^\ell(K_P, N) = \dim_{K_P} \text{Ext}_{\bar{R}_P}^\ell(K_P, N) + \dim_{K_P} \text{Ext}_{\bar{R}_P}^{\ell-1}(K_P, N).$$

In this case, we have that $\text{Ann}_M(y_d)$ and M/y_dM are $D(\bar{R}, \bar{A})$ -modules. By the induction hypothesis,

$$\text{Ext}_{\bar{R}_P}^\ell(K_P, \text{Ann}_{M_P}(y_d)) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Ext}_{\bar{R}_P}^\ell(K_P, M_P/y_dM_P) = 0$$

for $\ell > d + \dim(\text{Supp}_R(M)) - 1 = \dim(\bar{A}) + \dim(\text{Supp}_{\bar{R}}(M))$. Then, $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^\ell(K_P, \text{Ann}_{M_P}(y_d)) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^\ell(K_P, M_P/y_dM_P) = 0$ for $\ell > d + \dim(\text{Supp}_R(M))$.

From the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ann}_{M_P}(y_d) \rightarrow M_P \xrightarrow{y_d} y_dM_P \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow y_dM_P \rightarrow M_P \rightarrow M_P \otimes_R \bar{R} \rightarrow 0,$$

we get two long exact sequences induced by Ext :

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_P}^\ell(K_P, \text{Ann}_{M_P}(y_d)) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_P}^\ell(K_P, M_P) \\ &\xrightarrow{\rho_\ell} \text{Ext}_{R_P}^\ell(K_P, y_dM_P) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_P}^\ell(K_P, y_dM_P) &\xrightarrow{\theta_\ell} \text{Ext}_{R_P}^\ell(K_P, M_P) \\ &\xrightarrow{\theta_\ell} \text{Ext}_{R_P}^\ell(K_P, M_P \otimes_R \bar{R}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

In this case, ρ_ℓ is an isomorphism and θ_ℓ is surjective for $\ell > d + \dim(\text{Supp}_R(M))$. Then, $\theta_\ell \circ \rho$ is surjective for $\ell > d + \dim(\text{Supp}_R(M))$. Since

$$\theta_\ell \circ \rho_\ell = \text{Ext}_{R_P}^j(K_P, M_P) \xrightarrow{y_d} \text{Ext}_{R_P}^j(K_P, M_P)$$

is the zero morphism, $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^j(K_P, K_P) = 0$ for $\ell > d + \dim(\text{Supp}_R(M))$. $\square$

Proposition 6.2. *Let A be a Noetherian ring and let $R = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let $P \subset R$ be a prime ideal and let K_P denote the field R_P/PR_P . Let M be a $D(R, A)$ -module. Then, $\text{Ext}_R^\ell(K_P, M_P) = 0$ for $\ell > \dim(A) + \dim(\text{Supp}_R M)$.*

Proof. Let $Q = P \cap A$. Then, PR_Q is a prime ideal in R_Q that contains QR_Q . Since, $R_Q = A_Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and M_Q is a $D(R_Q, A_Q)$ -module and $(M_Q)_P = M_P$, we have that $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^\ell(K_P, M_P) = 0$ is zero for $\ell > \dim(A) + \dim(\text{Supp}_R(M_P))$ by Lemma 6.1. Hence, $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^\ell(K_P, M_P) = 0$ is zero for $\ell > \dim(A) + \dim(\text{Supp}_R(M))$, because $\dim(\text{Supp}_R(M)) \geq \dim(\text{Supp}_R(M_Q))$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. This is a consequence of Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.2 $\square$

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Mel Hochster for his invaluable comments and suggestions. I also wish to thank Gennady Lyubeznik for his helpful comments; in particular, for suggesting a generalization of Lemma 3.5 to every functor $\mathcal{T}$ instead of only for local cohomology modules. I would like to thank Wenliang Zhan for pointing out that the hypothesis of a Cohen-Macaulay ring, previously assumed, was not needed in several results; in particular, in Proposition 3.6. I also wish to thank him for suggesting the statement and the ideas behind the proof of Corollary 3.8. Thanks are also due to the National Council of Science and Technology of Mexico by its support through grant 210916.

References

- [1] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas II*. Publications Mathématiques I.H.E.S. 24 (1965).
- [2] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Quatrième partie*. Publications Mathématiques I.H.E.S. 32 (1967).
- [3] R. Hartshorne, *Affine Duality and Cofiniteness*, Invent. Math. 9, 145–164 (1970).
- [4] C. Huneke and R. Sharp, *Bass numbers of local cohomology modules*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 339, No. 2, October 1993), pp. 765–779.

- [5] G. Lyubeznik, Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules (an application of D -modules to commutative algebra). *Invent. Math.* 113 (1993), no. 1, 41–55.
- [6] G. Lyubeznik, Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules: a characteristic-free approach. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 151 (2000), no. 1, 43–50.
- [7] G. Lyubeznik, F -modules: applications to local cohomology and D -modules in characteristic $p > 0$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 491 (1997), 65–130.
- [8] G. Lyubeznik, Injective dimension of D -modules: a characteristic-free approach. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 149 (2000), no. 2, 205–212.
- [9] G. Lyubeznik, Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules for regular local rings of mixed characteristic: the unramified case. Special issue in honor of Robin Hartshorne. *Comm. Algebra* 28 (2000), no. 12, 5867–5882.
- [10] Z. Mebkhout and L. Narváez-Macarro, La théorie du polynôme de Bernstein-Sato pour les algèbres de Tate et de Dwork-Monsky-Washnitzer. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 24 (1991), no. 2, 227–256.
- [11] L. Núñez-Betancourt, Local cohomology properties of direct summands, to appear in *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*.
- [12] L. Núñez-Betancourt, On certain rings of differentiable type and finiteness properties of local cohomology, preprint 2012.
- [13] C. Zhou, Higher derivations and local cohomology modules. *J. Algebra* 201 no. 2, 363–372 (1998).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109–1043, USA.
Email address: luisnub@umich.edu